

LOS ANGELES TIMES

STATINTL

# Congress Clamor Raised Anew Over Secret CIA

## Argument Unsettled in Decade

BY DAVID KRASLOW

WASHINGTON

It "almost chills the marrow of a man to hear about" the clandestine activities of Central Intelligence Agency operatives, Sen. Richard Russell (D-Ga.) told the Senate in 1956.

Chilled marrow or not, many people feel Congress ought to hear a lot more about what the CIA and the rest of the government's vast intelligence apparatus are doing.

They feel Congress has done a dangerously inadequate job of auditing the agency that operates in "dark back alleys," as Secretary of State Dean Rusk once put it, all over the world.

It is an old argument that seems no closer to resolution today than it did a decade or more ago. But it

has been revived in hearings before the House rules committee on bills sponsored by 20 congressmen to establish a joint Senate-House watchdog committee over the CIA.

CIA subcommittees have functioned in the House and Senate for years. But proponents of the joint committee idea say the present system is lax, cursory, and far too informal for overseeing such a vital part of the United States government.

### Need For Secrecy

They do not question the need for secrecy, but they insist that a joint committee, staffed by full-time professionals and adhering to formal procedures, can do a much more effective job of supervision.

They point to the work of the joint committee on atomic energy, which rides herd on the Atomic Energy Commission and has not yet compromised any of its secrets.

But an agency that has jurisdiction over the back alleys—in the never-never land of spies, U-2 flights, and mysterious coup d'etat—is, of course, a different animal than the AEC.

There is a basic problem in dealing with the highly sensitive and vexatious issue of congressional supervision of the CIA.

The CIA is so secret, and the work done by the present CIA subcommittees is so secret, that it is extremely difficult for outsiders to make an informed judgment on how effective an auditing job Congress is now doing.

### Alleged Blunders

These outsiders include the great majority in Congress. And that's the rub.

Many of these outsiders in Congress read of alleged CIA blunders in Laos and Vietnam and at the Bay of Pigs and wonder if Congress shouldn't be doing something more about the CIA. They don't really know all that the CIA did or is doing, and they wonder if they would know.

Some members of Congress are certain they should in order to fulfill their constitutional responsibility of overseeing the executive branch.

Last August, Rep. John V. Lindsay (R-N.Y.) told the House during a discussion of the CIA and other intelligence agencies:

"... We are often the victim of secrecy for secrecy's sake. Things are done to us and in our name which we know nothing of."

Sen. Eugene McCarthy (D-Minn.), who has been sponsoring joint committee bills for some 10 years, told the Senate last year:

"... as espionage and counterespionage have become more pervasive and their techniques more subtle, the need for secrecy has, if anything, increased.

"Nevertheless, I do not think it right that the Congress, which has the constitutional responsibility to provide for the common defense and to oversee the operation of the executive agencies which operate in this area, should be kept so largely ignorant of what is going on in the back alleys."

And this year McCarthy wrote that the CIA "has taken on the character of an invisible government, answering only to itself. The CIA must be made accountable for its activities, not only to the President but also to Congress through a responsible committee."

### Saltonstall's View

The McCarthys and the Lindsays obviously do not share the view expressed by Sen. Leverett Saltonstall (R-Mass.) in 1960, after the U-2 was shot down over the Soviet Union.

Continued

MORI/CDE